

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,954	04/02/2004	Tord Inghardt	3764-155	8926
23117 7.	590 10/31/2006		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR		LOOR	SAEED, KAMAL A	
	N, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1626	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,954	INGHARDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kamal A. Saeed	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	uaust 2006.					
<u></u> ::	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-13 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2 and 4-13</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· ·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the drawing a		e Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• ,	, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<u> </u>				
application from the International Bureau	•	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Intention Summe	on/ (PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 3 and 14-20 have been cancelled. Therefore, claims 1, 2, 4-13 and 21-24 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 21-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment of the claims to be of the same scope as the parent claims except for the elected subject matter is proper.

Applicants argue that the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1,2 and 4-13 be withdrawn. Applicants state that there is clear distinction between the present application and the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,716,834 because the claims of the granted patent require the 5-atom of the thiochromane be in mono or dixo form and the 6-postion of the thiochromane ring bears a halo substitutent. Applicants argument have been fully considered bout they are not found persuasive foe the following reasons. Regarding the presence of the mono or dioxo form in the 5-atom of the thiochromane group that is present in the granted patent, the compounds of the present application have also a mono or dixo form ( See the definition of variable X<sub>1</sub>). The present application also allows the presence of halo substituent on the phenyl ring of the thiochromae group (See the definition of variable R<sup>2</sup> in claim 1). Therefore, the double patenting rejection set forth in the previous office action and repeated below is maintained.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,2 and 4-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 8, 9 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,716,834 to Andersson et al.. Instant claims disclose compounds or a salt of the compound of the formula

$$R \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longleftarrow} R_{X} \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longleftarrow} N \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longleftarrow} V \stackrel{(CH_{2})_{n} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longleftarrow} B}$$

depicted in claim 1,

as defined above. US Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,954 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

wherein

Y represents S(O) or S(O)2;

R1 represents halo; and

R<sup>2</sup> represents H, halo or C<sub>1-4</sub> alkoxy which latter group is optionally substituted by one or more halo groups; or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt or prodrug thereof. In claim

teach in claim 1, compounds of formula

8 the patent teach the phamacetical compositions. Claim 9 of the patent teach specific configuration of the fragment which is the same as claim 12 of this application. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US Patent No. 6,716,834 which discloses specific compounds and salts (see claims 1-5) which are more specific embodiments that anticipate the compounds and salts as instantly claimed and one skilled in the art would have been motivated to make specific compounds similar to the one described and exemplified in US Patent No. 6,716,834.

Therefore **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136 (a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 10/815,954 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamal A Saeed whose telephone number is (571) 272-0705. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:30 AM- 5:00 PM.

Communication via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signiture, may be used by applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or public PAIR only. For more information about the pair system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER